



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/658,333 09/10/2003		Noriyuki Sasaki	122.1567 1877			
21171	21171 7590 03/08/2006			EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			FATAHI YAR, MAHMOUD			
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		2674			

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/658,33	3	SASAKI, NORIYUKI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mike Fatah	niyar	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve rill apply and will cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status								
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is no	on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from cor						
Applicati	on Papers			; .				
9)⊠ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	re: a)⊠ adrawing(s) bo on is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/04.		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	.152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: At pages 7-8(of the specification) and page 14(of the claims), the recited letters are in different fonts and slanted orientation than the rest of the disclosure.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-7, 10-14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hashimoto(20030061526A1).

Hashimoto discloses a method and an apparatus for power reduction management of an information processing device comprising a task management

Application/Control Number: 10/658,333

Art Unit: 2674

application program for relating times to power saving settings(see abstract and paragraphs[0008]-[0014]) comprising an input/output control section(44) and a management table(152-154; see figure 1) which are function as claimed.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

5. Claims 8-9 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of(Yasunobu, JP abstract 11126118A).

Hashimoto is discussed above. Yasunobu is cited to show that the concept of limiting brightness of the backlight of an LCD device by reducing output electric power of an inverter of the backlight for power saving purpose is old(see the abstract). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Hashimoto with the noted teaching of Yasunobu such that the power saving task management of Hashimoto would perform power saving of an LCD display device with a backlight as an output device because both references are related to power management of input/output devices.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view of Fujii et al(6,442,443B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/658,333

Art Unit: 2674

Page 4

Hashimoto is discussed above. Fujii et al is cited show that the concept of reducing output power of an speaker device during a predetermined time is old(see figure 2 and the abstract). Therefor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Hashimoto with the noted teachings of Fujii et al such that to perform a power reduction of an speaker device as an output device because both references are related to power management of input/output devices of a computer system.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shiraga, Lam, Kono et al, Shimomura et al, Kasamatsu et al and Matsumoto et al are made of record to show various types of power management for different types of input/output devices.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Fatahiyar whose telephone number is (571)272-7688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2674

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF

M. Fatahiyar

March 4, 2006

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER